STEWART McKELVEY
LAWYERS • AVOCATS

Cabot Place, 1100 – 100 New Gower Street, P.O. Box 5038
St. John's NL A1C 5V3 Canada tel: 709.722.4270 fax: 709.722.4565 stewartmckelvey.com

File Reference: SM003789.00001

Dana Constantine
Direct Dial: 709.570.5790
drconstantine@stewartmckelvey.com

November 24, 2026

Via Electronic Mail

Newfoundland and Labrador Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities
120 Torbay Road
P.O. Box 21040
St. John's, NL A1A 5B2

Attention: Mr. Mike McNiven, Board Secretary

Dear Mr. McNiven:

Re: Newfoundland Power 2027 Wesleyville and Greenhill Thermal Generation Supplemental Application

On behalf of the Island Industrial Customer Group, please find enclosed Requests for Information IIC-NP-001 to IIC-NP-002, dated November 24, 2026, in relation to the above noted Application.

We trust the above and enclosed to be in order.

Yours truly,

Stewart McKelvey

Dana Constantine

Dana Constantine

DC/bm

Enclosure
c. Glen G. Seaborn
Denis J. Fleming

Public Utilities Board
Jacqui Glynn
Ryan Oake
Mike McNiven
Colleen Jones
Board Record

Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro
Shirley Walsh
NLH Regulatory

Newfoundland Power Inc.
Dominic Foley
NP Regulatory

Consumer Advocate
Adrienne H. Y. Ding
Consumer Advocate General

IN THE MATTER OF the *Public Utilities Act*, (the "Act"); and

IN THE MATTER OF an application by Newfoundland Power Inc. for an order pursuant to section 41 of the Act approving supplemental capital expenditures required for the refurbishment of thermal facilities at Wesleyville and Greenhill.

REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION OF THE ISLAND INDUSTRIAL CUSTOMER GROUP

IIC-NP-001-IIC-NP-002

ISSUED: November 24, 2026

IIC-NP-001 **Reference: Application, Report: *Wesleyville and Greenhill Thermal Facility Refurbishments*, Section 9.5, Customer Rate Impacts.**

Newfoundland Power states that, beginning in 2032, the proposed capital additions are estimated to increase Newfoundland Power's annual revenue requirement by approximately $25 million and increase customer rates by approximately 2.2%.

(a) Please provide a schedule showing the calculation of the $25 million increase in revenue requirement, separately identifying the following:

i. Depreciation expense;

ii. Interest expense;

iii. Return on equity;

iv. Cost of fuel;

v. Non-fuel operations and maintenance expense;

vi. Any generation credits assumed to flow from Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro; and,

vii. All other revenue requirement items (please include a narrative explaining what such costs include).

(b) Please provide a schedule showing the calculation of the estimated impact of 2.2% on customer rates, including all assumptions used in preparing the estimate.

(c) Does the estimated revenue requirement impact of $25 million include any amounts related to a generation credit from Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro? If yes, please provide:

i. The calculations supporting any such estimates, including a description of any assumptions used in preparing the analysis;

ii. A summary of any discussions NP has had with Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro on the calculation of any thermal generation credits that may be associated with the project; and,

iii. A description of whether any generation credits are calculated consistent with the current NP thermal generation credit. If not, please explain the basis for any changes.

(d) Please confirm there will be no impact on rates until the first GRA following the completion of the project. If not confirmed, please provide a detailed explanation.

IIC-NP-002 **Reference: Application, Report: *Wesleyville and Greenhill Thermal Facility Refurbishments*, Appendix C, Wesleyville & Greenhill Planning Study, Section 6.1, Development of Alternatives.**

Newfoundland Power lists five planning criteria used to develop the alternatives evaluated in Appendix C. Both alternatives involve installing 45 MW of new capacity, which NP notes is approximately 17 MW more than the derated capability of the existing facilities.

(a) Did NP consider an alternative that replaced only the existing derated capacity (approximately 28 MW)?

i. If yes, please provide a summary of the results of that analysis and a comparison with the results of the two alternatives reviewed in Appendix C.

ii. If no, please explain why not. In particular, explain which of the five planning criteria outlined at the beginning of section 6.1 that a 28 MW alternative would not satisfy.

(b) Please provide an explanation for the differences in the capital costs for the 45 MW of new capacity assumed for alternative 1 of $242.1 million (the sum of the WES 15 MW refurbishment of $86.4 million and the GRH 30 MW refurbishment of $155.7 million as shown in Table 19) compared to the $261.8 million for alternative 2 for the 45.6 MW at Hydro's overnight cost as shown in Table 20.

(c) Please explain why alternative 2 assumes 45.6 MW of capacity instead of 45 MW?

DATED at St. John's, Newfoundland and Labrador, this 24th day of November, 2026.

POOLE ALTHOUSE

Dana Constantine
for Glen G. Seaborn

COX & PALMER

Dana Constantine
for Denis J. Fleming

STEWART MCKELVEY

Dana Constantine
Dana Constantine

TO: The Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities
Mike McNiven, Board Secretary, Jacqui Glynn, Ryan Oake, Board General

TO: Newfoundland & Labrador Hydro
Shirley Walsh, NLH Regulatory

TO: Newfoundland Power Inc.
Dominic J. Foley, NP Regulatory

TO: Consumer Advocate
Adrienne H. Y. Ding, Consumer Advocate General